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Horizon Europe (2021-2028)

- **Horizon Europe**
  - **Pillar 1**: Excellent Science
    - European Research Council
    - Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions
    - Research Infrastructures
  - **Pillar 2**: Global Challenges & European Industrial Competitiveness
    - Clusters: Health, Culture, Creativity and Inclusive Society, Civil Security for Society, Digital, Industry and Space, Climate, Energy and Mobility, Food, Bioeconomy, Natural Resources, Agriculture and Environment
    - Joint Research Centre
  - **Pillar 3**: Innovative Europe
    - European Innovation Council
    - European innovation ecosystems
    - European Institute of Innovation and Technology

The ERC represents 17% of the overall Horizon Europe budget, i.e. €16 billion

Total budget: €95.5 Billion
The ERC, set up by the European Union in 2007 and marking its 15th anniversary this year, is the premier European funding organisation for excellent frontier research.

The ERC's mission is to encourage the highest quality research in Europe through competitive funding and to support investigator-driven frontier research across all fields, on the basis of scientific excellence.

It funds creative researchers of any nationality and age, to run projects based across Europe.

The ERC is led by an independent governing body, the Scientific Council.
Objectives and Principles of ERC

Scientific Excellence

- Any field of research
- Anywhere in the world
- High risk / High gain
- Best settings to foster creativity
- Portable
  (Money follows researcher)
- One Principle Investigator
  (except SyG)
- Attractive, flexible, long term funding
- One Host Institution
  (except SyG)
A success story for 15 years

- 10,000 top researchers
- >12,000 projects
- 200,000 articles published. Over 6,100 articles are among the top 1% highly cited.
- 2,200 patents and other IPR applications
- 400 start-up companies funded/co-funded by ERC Grantees
- 75,000 team members employed in ERC Grants
- 850 host institutions
- 85 nationalities
- ERC Grantees have won 9 Nobel Prizes, 4 Fields Medals, 11 Wolf Prizes
- More than 70-80% of projects made scientific breakthrough or major advances
Why would I apply to the ERC?

ERC offers you

• the possibility to work on a research topic of own choice, with a team of own choice

• true financial autonomy for 5 years.

• the means to negotiate with the host institution for the best conditions.

• The ability to attract best team members, best collaborations as a quality label.
**ERC Grants**

### Starting Grant
- 2-7 years after PhD
- up to €1.5 Million for 5 years
- + up to €1 Million*

### Consolidator Grant
- 7-12 years after PhD
- up to €2 Million for 5 years
- + up to €1 Million*

### Advanced Grant
- 10 years track record
- up to €2.5 Million for 5 years
- + up to €1 Million*

### Synergy Grant
- 2 - 4 PIs at any career stage
- up to €10 Million for 6 years
- + up to €4 Million*

---

**Proof of Concept**
bridging gap between research - earliest stage of marketable innovation
- up to €150,000 for up to 18 months for ERC grant holders
## 2023 ERC Calls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Call identifier</th>
<th>Starting Grant</th>
<th>Consolidator Grant</th>
<th>Advanced Grant</th>
<th>Synergy Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERC-2023-StG</td>
<td>ERC-2023-CoG</td>
<td>ERC-2023-AdG</td>
<td>ERC-2023-SyG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Call opens</th>
<th>12/07/2022</th>
<th>28/09/2022</th>
<th>08/12/2022</th>
<th>13/07/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Call deadline</th>
<th>25/10/2022</th>
<th>02/02/2023</th>
<th>23/05/2023</th>
<th>08/11/2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Budget million EUR (estimated number of grants) | 628 (407) | 595 (300) | 597 (246) | 300 (30) |

**Total Budget of the Calls:**
2.2 Billion €

**Total number of projects to be funded:**
1183
Important Documents

ERC Work Programme 2023

European Research Council
Established by the European Commission

Horizon Europe
European Research Council (ERC)
Frontier Research Grants
Information for Applicants to the Starting and Consolidator Grant Calls

Others:

- Guide for peer reviewers
- How to Complete your Ethics Self-Assessment
- ERC Rules of Submission
- Model Grant Agreement

https://ufukavrupa.org.tr/tr/alanlar-kumeler/avrupa-arastirma-konseyi
**Update on 2023 Calls**
Prospective applicants to the 2023 Starting and Consolidator Grant Calls should note that the ERC is aiming to change the PhD reference date for the calculation of the eligibility period from the date of the actual award according to the national rules of the country where the degree was awarded to the **date of the successful PhD defence**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Eligibility Criteria</th>
<th>Starting Grant</th>
<th>Consolidator Grant</th>
<th>Advanced or Synergy Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>For ERC-2023-StG call</strong></td>
<td>First successful PhD defence date</td>
<td>First successful PhD defence date</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 and ≤ 7 years Prior to 1 Jan 2023</td>
<td>&gt;7 and ≤ 12 years Prior to 1 Jan 2023</td>
<td>From 1 January 2011 to 31st December 2015 (inclusive)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 1 January 2016 to 31st December 2020 (inclusive)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Extension of the Eligibility Window

Properly documented circumstances:

**Maternity:** (18 months extension for each child born before or after the date of the successful defence of their PhD degree. If the applicant can document a longer total maternity leave, the eligibility period will be extended by the documented amount of actual leave(s) for all children taken before the call deadline. Adoption is)

**Paternity** (documented time of leave taken before the call deadline for each child born before or after PhD)

**Long term illness** (Over 90 days for the Principal Investigator or a close family member (child, spouse, parent or sibling). After the PhD degree)

**National service** after PhD degree

**Clinical training** (after PhD degree and up to 4 years)

**NEW** **Natural disaster** (after PhD degree, Large-scale geological or meteorological events that have the potential to cause the loss of life or property, up to 30 days)

**NEW** **Seeking Asylum:** (after PhD degree)
### Minimum Time Commitment of PI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minimum percentage of the working time of a Principal Investigator that must be spent</th>
<th>Starting Grant</th>
<th>Consolidator Grant</th>
<th>Advanced Grant</th>
<th>Synergy Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>On the ERC project</strong></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>30% for each Principal Investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In a Member State or Associated Country</strong></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50% for each Principal Investigator engaged and hosted by an institution in the EU or Associated Countries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Field work outside EU&AC:**
Time spent on such field work or other research activities may count as time spent in the EU or the Associated Countries for the purpose of the Principal Investigator’s time commitment.

**Applicable for the whole duration of the project**
Evaluation of scientific excellence at two levels:

- **Quality of the Research Project**
  - Ground-breaking nature
  - Potential impact
  - Scientific Approach

- **Quality of the Principal Investigator**
  - Intellectual capacity
  - Creativity
  - Commitment
Application Forms
### Application Forms

#### Part B1 (submitted as pdf)
*Evaluated in Step 1 & Step 2*

- Text box - Cross-panel explanation
  - a – Extended synopsis: 5 pages
  - b – Curriculum vitae: 2 pages
  - c – Track-record: 2 pages
- Appendix – Funding ID: no page limit

#### Part B2 (submitted as pdf)
*Only evaluated in Step 2*

- Scientific proposal: 14 pages
  - a – State-of-the-art and objectives
  - b – Methodology
  - c – Resources: online (part A)

#### Administrative forms (Part A)

1. General information
2. Administrative data of participating organisations
3. Budget resources section
4. Ethics
5. Call specific questions

#### Annexes

- HI Statement of Support
- PhD Certificate (for StG and CoG)
- Documentation for requests for eligibility extensions
- Documentation for ethical issues

---

*Read the Information for Applicants*
Section a: Extended Synopsis of the scientific proposal (max. 8 pages, references do not count towards the page limits)

The Extended Synopsis should give a concise presentation of the scientific proposal, with particular attention to the ground-breaking nature of the research project, which will allow evaluators panels to assess, in Step 1 of the evaluation, the feasibility of the outlined scientific approach. Describe the proposed work in the context of the state of the art of the field. References to literature should also be included. Please use a reference style that is commonly used in your discipline such as American Chemical Society (ACS) style, American Medical Association (AMA) style, Modern Language Association (MLA) style, etc. and that allows the evaluators to easily retrieve such references.

Please respect the following formatting constraints: Times New Roman, Arial or similar, at least font size 11, margins 2.5cm side and 2.5cm top and bottom, single line spacing.

Extended Synopsis: 5 pages + references

Concise presentation of the proposal with emphasis on ground-breaking nature and feasibility taking into account the current state-of-the-art.
Please follow the template for StG, CoG or AdG
Describe clearly any scientific overlap between your ERC application and the current research grant or on-going grant application.
Section c: Early achievements track-record (max. 2 pages)

Provide a list of achievements reflecting the Principal Investigator’s track record. You may include a short narrative describing the scientific importance of the research outputs and the role that the Principal Investigator played in their production.

(see ‘Information for Applicants to the Starting and Consolidator Grant 2023 Calls’ for completing this section)

Do NOT split the sections and/or references in Part B1 and do NOT upload them as separate documents. The peer reviewers will only receive one single document for evaluation at Step 1. Hence, Part B1 should contain all elements as explained in this template and if some parts of Part B1 are uploaded as separate attachments, the peer reviewers will not have access to them.

2 pages

For StG:
Upto 5 selected publications

For CoG and AdG:
Upto 10 selected publications

include short narrative for each pub.

Include field relevant bibliometric indicators

No JIP (journal Impact factors)

+ other achievements
Early achievements track record

1. Up to five publications in major international peer-reviewed multi-disciplinary scientific journals and/or in the leading international peer-reviewed journals, peer-reviewed conferences proceedings and/or monographs of their respective research fields, highlighting those as main author or without the presence as co-author of their PhD supervisor (properly referenced, field relevant bibliometric indicators (except JIF) may also be included); preprints may be included, if freely available from a preprint server (preprints should be properly referenced and either a link to the preprint or a DOI should be provided);

2. Research monographs and any translations thereof;

3. Granted patent(s);

4. Invited presentations to internationally established conferences and/or international advanced schools;

5. Prizes, awards, academy memberships.

A short narrative describing the scientific importance of the research outputs and the role played by the Principal Investigator may be included.

Benchmark: PI must have already shown the potential for research independence and evidence of maturity (for example by at least one important publication as main author or without the participation of PhD supervisor)
B1: Track Record for CoG

Early achievements track record

1. Up to ten publications in major international peer-reviewed multi-disciplinary scientific journals and/or in the leading international peer-reviewed journals, peer-reviewed conferences proceedings and/or monographs of their respective research fields, highlighting those as main author or without the presence as co-author of their PhD supervisor (properly referenced, field relevant bibliometric indicators (except JIF) may also be included); preprints may be included, if freely available from a preprint server (preprints should be properly referenced and either a link to the preprint or a DOI should be provided);

2. Research monographs and any translations thereof;

3. Granted patent(s);

4. Invited presentations to internationally established conferences and/or international advanced schools;

5. Prizes, awards, academy memberships.

Benchmark: PI must have already shown the potential for research independence and evidence of maturity (for example by several important publication as main author or without the participation of PhD supervisor)

A short narrative describing the scientific importance of the research outputs and the role played by the Principal Investigator may be included.
B1: Track Record for AdG

Ten year track record

1. **Up to ten representative publications** as main author (or in those fields where alphabetical order of authorship is the norm, joint author) in **major international peer-reviewed multi-disciplinary scientific journals** and/or in the **leading international peer-reviewed journals and peer-reviewed conference proceedings** of their respective research fields (properly referenced, field relevant bibliometric indicators may also be included (except JIF)); preprints may be included, if freely available from a preprint server (preprints should be properly referenced and either a link to the preprint or a DOI should be provided);

2. **Research monographs and any translations thereof**;

3. Granted **patents**;

4. **Invited presentations to internationally established conferences** and/or **international advanced schools**;

5. **Research expeditions** that the applicant Principal Investigator has led;

6. **Organisation of international conferences** in the field of the applicant (membership in the steering and/or organising committee);

7. **Prizes, awards, academy memberships**;

8. **Major contributions to the early careers of excellent researchers**;

9. **Examples of innovation leadership**.

---

**Benchmark:**
PIs must have already shown a record, which identifies them as an exceptional leader in terms of originality and significance of their research contributions. At least matching one or more of the following benchmarks:

- 10 publications as main author (or in those fields where alphabetical order of authorship is the norm, joint author) in major international peer-reviewed multi-disciplinary scientific journals, and/or in the leading international peer-reviewed journals, and/or peer-reviewed conferences proceedings of their respective field;

- 3 major research monographs. This benchmark is relevant to research fields where publication of monographs is the norm.

+ other alternative benchmarks

---

Ten year track record can be extended for maternity, paternity, long term illness, national service, clinical training, natural disaster, seeking asylum.

A short narrative describing the scientific importance of the research outputs and the role played by the Principal Investigator may be included.
B1: Track Record

Figure 1. Citation in the last decade (Web of Knowledge)

Figure 2. Invited lectures in the last decade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Publication</th>
<th>#</th>
<th># without the PhD supervisor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>peer-reviewed international journals</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peer-reviewed conferences proceedings</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>book chapters</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>books</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Objectives in the context of state-of-the-art, how and why this is important, impact, challenges

Section b. Methodology

Detailed, key intermediate goals, how SOA is your methodology, justify partners

---

2 Instructions for completing Part B2 can be found in the ‘Information for Applicants to the Starting and Consolidator Grant 2023 Calls’.
The electronic form is accessed via the call submission link in Funding & Tenders Portal.

- 5 sections
- Many mandatory fields
- Devote enough time
Budget for grants

Total Budget:
- Maximum amounts and durations
- pro rata temporis (for projects of shorter period)

Budget Categories in application form
- Personnel Costs (PI, Senior Staff, students, other)
- Travel and subsistence
- Equipment
- Consumables
- Publications (incl. Open access fees) and dissemination
- Total other good, works and services

Additional funding can be requested for
- Start-up costs (If PI/PIs moving to the EU or an AC from elsewhere as a consequence of receiving the ERC grant)
- Major equipment
- Access to large facilities
- Major experimental and field work costs (excluding personnel costs)

Starting Grant: 1.5 M € for 5 years
Consolidator Grant: 2 M € for 5 years
Advanced Grant: 2.5 M € for 5 years
Synergy Grant: 10 M € for 6 years

Additional funding:
- 1 M € for StG/CoG/AdG
- 4 M € for SyG
- not subject to pro rata temporis

no upper limits for budget categories
Budget for grants

• %100 funding rate for direct costs + %25 of direct costs (overhead) ≤ max budget
  – Overhead rate is fixed
  – excluding the direct eligible costs for subcontracting and internally invoiced goods and services.

• **Depreciation costs** for purchases of equipment, infrastructure or other assets (not full costs)

• **National and institutional rules apply**

• The funding requested must be **fully justified** by estimation of real project cost

• All funding requested is **assessed** during evaluation by panelists

• Panels may suggest modifications(reductions) to the budget breakdown
I plan to allocate .... (one to max. two descriptive text pages) – max. 8000 characters.
Ethical Principles

- Comply with national, EU and international ethical principles and legislation
- Civil applications
- Headings:
  - Human embryonic stem cells and human embryos
  - Human participants
  - Human cells/tissues
  - Personal data
  - Animals
  - Non-EU countries
  - Environment, health and safety
  - Artificial Intelligence (NEW)
- Provide an ethics self-assessment and supporting documentation where needed
- See “How to Complete your Ethics Self-Assessment” document for guidance
Evaluation Process
ERC Evaluation Process

Step 1

1. Submission of full proposals (B1 and B2)
2. Eligibility check
3. Step 1 evaluation of the proposal (B1) by at least 3 peer reviewers
4. 1st Panel meeting

- Proposals Rejected
  - C **
  - or B*
- Proposals retained
  - A

Step 2

1. Individual assessment of full proposal (B1 and B2) by panel members & referees
2. 2nd Panel meeting + Interview
3. Proposals not selected (A, reserve list, B)
4. Proposals selected for funding (A)
Rules on Resubmission:

Please consider:
- PhD year
- Your CV and track record
- Nature of the project
- Is your project high risk/high gain? Frontier?

! Waiting period concerns all ERC schemes of StG, CoG and AdG

! Resubmission rules differ for Synergy Grant calls
ERC Evaluation Criteria

Quality of the Research Project

1. Research Project

Ground-breaking nature, ambition and feasibility

Starting, Consolidator, Advanced

Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project

To what extent does the proposed research address important challenges?

To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond the state of the art (e.g. novel concepts and approaches or development between or across disciplines)?

To what extent is the proposed research high risk-high gain (i.e. if successful the payoffs will be very significant, but there is a high risk that the research project does not entirely fulfil its aims)?

Scientific Approach

To what extent is the outlined scientific approach feasible bearing in mind the extent that the proposed research is high risk-high gain (based on the Extended Synopsis)?

To what extent are the proposed research methodology and working arrangements appropriate to achieve the goals of the project (based on the research proposal)?

To what extent does the proposal involve the development of novel methodology (based on the research proposal)?

To what extent are the proposed timescales, resources and PI commitment adequate and properly justified (based on the research proposal)?

Quality of the Principal Investigator

Intellectual capacity and creativity

Starting and Consolidator

To what extent has the PI demonstrated the ability to conduct ground-breaking research?

To what extent does the PI provide evidence of creative independent thinking?

To what extent does the PI have the required scientific expertise and capacity to successfully execute the project?

or

Intellectual capacity and creativity

Advanced

To what extent has/have the PI(s) demonstrated the ability to conduct ground-breaking research?

To what extent does/do the PI(s) has/have the required scientific expertise and capacity to successfully execute the project?

To what extent has the PI demonstrated sound leadership in the training and advancement of young scientists (for Advanced Grant applicants)?
Panels – StG, CoG, AdG

- **Panel members**: typically 375 / call (SyG:~90)
  - High-level scientists
  - Recruited by ScC from all over the world: ~14% from outside Europe
  - About 12-16 members plus a chair person

- **Remote Referees**: typically 2000 / call
  - Evaluate only a small number of proposals
  - Similar to normal practise in peer-reviewed journals
Physical Sciences & Engineering

**PE1** Mathematics

**PE2** Fundamental Constituents of Matter

**PE3** Condensed Matter Physics

**PE4** Physical and Analytical Chemical Sciences

**PE5** Synthetic Chemistry and Materials

**PE6** Computer Science and Informatics

**PE7** Systems and Communication Engineering

**PE8** Products and Processes Engineering

**PE9** Universe Sciences

**PE10** Earth System Science

**PE11** Materials Engineering

Life Sciences

**LS1** Molecules of Life: Biological Mechanisms, Structures and Functions

**LS2** Integrative Biology: From Genes and Genomes to Systems

**LS3** Cellular, Developmental and Regenerative Biology

**LS4** Physiology in Health, Disease and Ageing

**LS5** Neuroscience and Disorders of the Nervous System

**LS6** Immunity, Infection and Immunotherapy

**LS7** Prevention, Diagnosis and Treatment of Human Diseases

**LS8** Environmental Biology, Ecology and Evolution

**LS9** Biotechnology and Biosystems Engineering

Social Sciences & Humanities

**SH1** Individuals, Markets and Organisations

**SH2** Institutions, Governance and Legal Systems

**SH3** The Social World, Diversity, Population

**SH4** The Human Mind and Its Complexity

**SH5** Cultures and Cultural Production

**SH6** The Study of the Human Past

**SH7** Human Mobility, Environment, and Space
Panel decision

- PI’s responsibility to choose the most relevant ERC Panel (primary panel)
- Secondary panel is optional
- See ERC keywords in Information for Applicants
- Decide on your panel before writing the proposal
- If necessary panel chairs may relocate the proposal from the initial panel
- Primary panel will determine if additional reviews by members of other panels or remote experts
Panel Budgets

• Indicative budget for each call in WP
• An indicative budget will be allocated to each panel (27 ERC Panels) in proportion to the budgetary demand of its assigned proposals in order to equalise the success rate across panels.
The composition of the ERC evaluation panels are by nature multi-disciplinary.

PE8 Products and Processes Engineering
Product and process design, chemical, civil, environmental, mechanical, vehicle engineering, energy processes and relevant computational methods

- PE8_1 Aerospace engineering
- PE8_2 Chemical engineering, technical chemistry
- PE8_3 Civil engineering, architecture, offshore construction, lightweight construction, geotechnics
- PE8_4 Computational engineering
- PE8_5 Fluid mechanics
- PE8_6 Energy processes engineering
- PE8_7 Mechanical engineering
- PE8_8 Propulsion engineering, e.g. hydraulic, turbo, piston, hybrid engines
- PE8_9 Production technology, process engineering
- PE8_10 Manufacturing engineering and industrial design
- PE8_11 Environmental engineering, e.g. sustainable design, waste and water treatment, recycling, regeneration or recovery of compounds, carbon capture & storage
- PE8_12 Naval/marine engineering
- PE8_13 Industrial bioengineering
- PE8_14 Automotive and rail engineering; multi-/inter-modal transport engineering

ERC-2021-StG PE8 Panel Members
Suad Jakirlić (Panel Chair)
Mehdi Ahmadian
Yves Bamberger
Davide Bigoni
Jorge Gascon
Maria Gavrilescu
Carlos Guedes Soares
Saša Kenjereš
Cecilia Laschi
Paulo B. Lourenco
Thierry Poinsot
Eva María Martín Del Valle
Andreas Pauschitz
Guillermo Rus Carlberg
José Torero Cullen
Eicke R. Weber
David Zhengwen Zhang
Evaluation Results

- information letter
- evaluation report
- final panel score
- ranking range
- the panel comment explaining the panel decision
- the individual comments given by each reviewer
Typical reasons for rejection

Source: ERCEA

**Research Project**
- **Scope:** Too narrow ↔ too broad/unfocussed
- Incremental research
- Collaborative project, several PIs
- Work plan not detailed enough/unclear
- Insufficient risk management

**Principle Investigator (PI)**
- Insufficient track-record
- Insufficient (potential for) independence

---

Before Redressing: see what you could you have done/explained/presented better before blaming the process!

- Differing scientific opinion is **not a motivation for redress**
- An obvious mistake however might result in a re-evaluation
Other Issues
Publications:

- Immediate open access to all peer reviewed scientific publications (articles, book chapters, long text such as monographs, edited collections, PhD thesis etc.)
- Full re-use rights (excluding long text formats and commercial re-use and derivate works)
- Beneficiaries/authors must ensure sufficient IPR
- **Publishing costs are eligible only if publishing venue (journal of book) is fully open access**

Research Data:

- Open access to research data and data related products (computer codes, algorithms, softwares, workflows, protocols etc.)
- FAIR (findability, accessibility, interoperability and reusability) principles
- DMP (Data Management Plan) within the first six months
- **“As open as possible, as close as necessary”**
Gender balance

– Mandatory Gender Equality Plan – GEP for the HI
– Promoting gender balance at all levels of personnel during the implementation of the project
– PIs should determine the relevance of integrating sex and gender analysis into their research
– Costs for promoting gender balance can be considered eligible
Turkey’s performance
2007-2022 calls:
917 applications* and 38 ERC Grants* + 1 transferred grant from abroad
31 ERC Grants with a total budget of 56.2 M € are either running or completed in in Turkish HIs
In addition 8 Proof of Concept Projects

*at the time of the application
6 researchers in Turkey have been selected for funding with a total budget of 11.3 M € in ERC 2021 Starting Grant and Consolidator Grant calls.

Dr. Mustafa YÜCEL
ERC 2021 Consolidator Grant
Middle East Technical University

Dr. Sedat NİZAMOĞLU
ERC 2021 Consolidator Grant
Koc University

Dr. Levent BEKER
ERC 2021 Starting Grant
Koc University

Dr. Özgür ATALAY
ERC 2021 Starting Grant
Istanbul Technical University

Dr. Onur ERGEN
ERC 2021 Starting Grant
Istanbul Technical University

Dr. Emre BÜKÜSOĞLU
ERC 2021 Starting Grant
Middle East Technical University
2 researchers in Turkey have been selected for funding with a total budget of 4,9 M € in ERC 2021 Advanced Grant call

**Assoc Prof. Dr. F. Ömer İLDAY**
ERC 2021 Advanced Grant
İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University - UNAM

**Prof. Dr. Tolga M. DUMAN**
ERC 2021 Advanced Grant
İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Host institution*</th>
<th>Number of Grantees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koc University</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilkent University</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East Technical University</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Istanbul Bilgi University</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabancı University</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kadir Has University</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>İstanbul Technical University</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toplam</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ERC Proof of Concept funding:** 8

*current Host Institutions In 2007-2022 calls*
TÜBİTAK Supports
Support from your ERC National Contact Points

- Bridge between you and ERC
- Guidance in proposal writing
- Information on Host Institutions in Turkey
- Pre-evaluation support of your proposal
ERC Principle Investigator Advancement Programme

**EBAG General Application**

**Evaluation** (by two independent experts)

**Sub-programme Application**

- **Project Writing Training**
- **Project Pre-Evaluation**
- **Interview Training**

- **Draft B1** is needed for the general application
- **Deadline for general application:** 45 day before each ERC Call
- **Apply for subcategories**
- **Apply as early as possible**
- **Check eligibility criteria** for **experts and consultancy firms** for each sub-programme

If you pass to the second evaluation step but didn’t receive funding

**ERC Above Threshold Award**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score in the second evaluation step</th>
<th>Award</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>20,000 TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>25,000 TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - Reserve</td>
<td>30,000 TL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BİDEB 2247 – B Programme**

- To improve your ERC application
- You need to re-submit your proposal to ERC in 2 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score in the second evaluation step</th>
<th>Maximum Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>500,000 TL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1,000,000 TL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Aim:** The support is provided for researchers who have carried out a multi-partner project as coordinators, ERC principle investigator or an ERC panelist residing abroad to cover the costs of the visits to institutions in Turkey.

**Content**

- Employees working in organizations that want to invite the foreign researchers can apply
- Airplane ticket
- Accommodation expenses
- Travel expenses
- Visa costs
- Max. 1500 Euro support
Register to our E-Bulletin

#HorizonEU
THE EU RESEARCH & INNOVATION
PROGRAMME
2021 - 2027
ERC website

https://erc.europa.eu/

- Funded Projects
- Statistics
- Open Calls
- Success Stories
- Magazine
- News & Events
- Panelists
- Publications and Studies
Suggestions
For a successful proposal...

Choose your panel before writing your idea

Devote time

Funded projects in your research area

Compare your idea with the funded projects

Compare yourself with the PIs who are funded by ERC

Apply and re-apply to ERC

Ask for help
Thank you

Aslı VURAL
Derya DÖNERTAŞ
ERC National Contact Points
ERC Programme Committee Members
TÜBİTAK

T: +90 312 298 1763 - 1761
E: ncpexc@tubitak.gov.tr
https://ufukavrupa.org.tr/